


```

(1) sum = 0;
    for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        ++sum;
(2) sum = 0;
    for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        for( j = 0; j < n; ++j )
            ++sum;
(3) sum = 0;
    for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        for( j = 0; j < n * n; ++j )
            ++sum;
(4) sum = 0;
    for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        for( j = 0; j < i; ++j )
            ++sum;
(5) sum = 0;
    for( i = 0; i < n; ++i )
        for( j = 0; j < i * i; ++j )
            for( k = 0; k < j; ++k )
                ++sum;
(6) sum = 0;
    for( i = 1; i < n; ++i )
        for( j = 1; j < i * i; ++j )
            if( j % i == 0 )
                for( k = 0; k < j; ++k )
                    ++sum;

```

2.8 Suppose you need to generate a *random* permutation of the first N integers. For example, $\{4, 3, 1, 5, 2\}$ and $\{3, 1, 4, 2, 5\}$ are legal permutations, but $\{5, 4, 1, 2, 1\}$ is not, because one number (1) is duplicated and another (3) is missing. This routine is often used in simulation of algorithms. We assume the existence of a random number generator, r , with method $\text{randInt}(i, j)$, that generates integers between i and j with equal probability. Here are three algorithms:

1. Fill the array a from $a[0]$ to $a[N-1]$ as follows: To fill $a[i]$, generate random numbers until you get one that is not already in $a[0], a[1], \dots, a[i-1]$.
2. Same as algorithm (1), but keep an extra array called the *used* array. When a random number, ran , is first put in the array a , set $\text{used}[\text{ran}] = \text{true}$. This means that when filling $a[i]$ with a random number, you can test in one step to see whether the random number has been used, instead of the (possibly) i steps in the first algorithm.
3. Fill the array such that $a[i] = i+1$. Then

```

for( i = 1; i < n; ++i )
    swap( a[ i ], a[ randInt( 0, i ) ] );

```

- a. Prove that all three algorithms generate only legal permutations and that all permutations are equally likely.